

2. The amendments made to the drawings.

Enclosed herewith is a new set of drawings consisting of three (3) sheets showing Figures as follows:

Sheet	Figures
1	1,2
2	3,4,5,6
3	7,8,9,10

The only change is to Sheet 2 whereon Fig. 4 has been labeled "PRIOR ART".

No new matter has been added.

Also enclosed herewith is Exhibit A showing the change in red.

5. The remarks.

A. The amendments made.

FIGURE 4 has been corrected in red, the specification has been amended, claims 1-16 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and new claims 17-20 have been added.

If the specification and/or claims should require any further amendment, the kind assistance of the Examiner in entering an Examiner's Amendment would be gratefully appreciated.

Chai respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration of claims 17-20, now pending in the application.

B. The last Office action.

The last Office action mailed from the Patent Office on October 23, 2007 has been carefully considered, and indicates that:

- o The drawings are objected to;
- o The disclosure is objected to;
- o Claims 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Bergman *et al.*; and
- o Claims 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

C. The response.

(1) *The objection to the drawings.*

In response to the Examiner's objection to the drawings, Chai has amended **FIGURE 4** in red to include the legend --Prior Art--, as required by the Examiner.

In view of the amendment made to **FIGURE 4** in red to include the legend --Prior Art--, Chai respectfully submits that the Examiner's grounds for the objection to the drawings are no longer applicable, and therefore Chai respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this objection.

(2) *The objection to the disclosure.*

In response to the Examiner's objection to the disclosure, Chai has amended the specification at page 27, line 13, to change "26" to --2c--, as required by the Examiner.

In view of the amendment made to the specification at page 27, line 13, to change "26" to --2c--, Chai respectfully submits that the Examiner's grounds for the objection to the disclosure are no longer applicable, and therefore Chai respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this objection.

(3) *The objection to claim 10.*

In response to the Examiner's objection to claim 10, Chai has canceled claim 10 without prejudice or disclaimer.

In view of the cancellation of claim 10 without prejudice or disclaimer, Chai respectfully submits that the Examiner's grounds for the objection to claim 10 are no longer applicable, and therefore Chai respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this objection.

(4) *The rejection of claims 6-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Bergman et al., and the objection to claims 13-16 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.*


In response to the Examiner's rejection of claims 6-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Bergman *et al.*, and the Examiner's objection to claims 13-16 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent

form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, Chai expresses his appreciation to the Examiner for the indicated allowable subject matter of claims 13-16, and in view thereof, has:

- Canceled claims 6-12 without prejudice or disclaimer;
- Canceled allowable claims 13-16 without prejudice or disclaimer;
- Added new claim 17 to include the limitations of canceled allowable claim 13, canceled base claim 6, and canceled intervening claim 12;
- Added new claim 18 to include the limitations of canceled allowable claim 14;
- Added new claim 19 to include the limitations of canceled allowable claim 15; and
- Added new claim 20 to include the limitations of canceled allowable claim 16.

In view of the cancellation of claims 6-12 without prejudice or disclaimer, the cancellation of allowable claims 13-16 without prejudice or disclaimer, the addition of new claim 17 to include the limitations of canceled allowable claim 13, canceled base claim 6, and canceled intervening claim 12, the addition of new claim 18 to include the limitations of canceled allowable claim 14, the addition of new claim 19 to include the limitations of canceled allowable claim 15, and the addition of new claim 20 to include the limitations of canceled allowable claim 16, Chai respectfully submits that the Examiner's grounds for the rejection of claims 6-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Bergman *et al.*, and the Examiner's grounds for the objection to claims 13-16 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim are no longer applicable, and therefore Chai respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this rejection and this objection.

Respectfully,

By: 
CHARLES E. BAXLEY
Attorney of Record
USPTO Reg. 20,149

90 John Street - Suite 309
New York, New York 10038
Tel: (212) 791-7200

Fax: (212) 791-7276
e-mail: ceb@hartbaxley.com

Dated: New York, NY